Pediatric Obesity: A Global and Indian Epidemiological and Clinical Review

Benny PV[a], Susanna John[a]

a. Department of Community Medicine, Sree Gokulam Medical College, Venjaramoodu, Trivandrum.*

ABSTRACT

Published on 30th March 2026

The worldwide prevalence of pediatric obesity constitutes a critical public health crisis, characterized by rapidly accelerating rates, particularly since 2011.1 The global increase in preschool-age children from approximately 4% in 1990 to 7% in 2010 exemplifies this dramatic shift.[2] For children and adolescents globally, excess weight is now estimated at 22.2%.[1] India mirrors and, in specific metabolic aspects, exceeds this global trajectory, struggling with the "double burden of malnutrition" (DBM) where undernutrition coexists with increasing rates of overweight and obesity.[3] Meta-analyses estimate Indian children face an overweight prevalence of 12.64% and an obesity prevalence of 3.39%.[4]

The etiological framework emphasizes early life determinants, with parental obesity and early adiposity rebound representing extreme risk factors.[5] Crucially, lifestyle factors such as excessive screen time and inadequate sleep interact with genetic susceptibility, suggesting that behavioural modification can actively counteract inherited risks.[6] The clinical burden is profound, marked by a high prevalence of endocrine and metabolic comorbidities, most alarmingly Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD), which affects 63.4% of obese children in India.[7] Management requires a comprehensive, staged approach, beginning with Intensive Health Behavior and Lifestyle Therapy (IHBLT), and escalating to pharmacotherapy and metabolic and bariatric surgery (MBS) for severe cases.[8] Effective policy in India necessitates stringent, multi-sectoral legislative controls, including health taxes and marketing restrictions, coupled with culturally congruent family-based interventions to address pervasive socio-cultural barriers like forced feeding and the normalization of excess weight.[10]

Keywords: Pediatric Obesity; Pediatric Overweight; Double Burden of Malnutrition; Lifestyle Factors; Lifestyle Therapy.

**See End Note for complete author details*

INTRODUCTION: THE GLOBAL CRISIS OF PEDIATRIC OBESITY

1.1. Defining Pediatric Overweight and Obesity: Challenges in Diagnostic Standardization

The accurate assessment of pediatric obesity hinges upon standardized diagnostic criteria, yet surveillance remains complicated by significant methodological heterogeneity across international studies.[12] Body Mass Index (BMI) is the primary metric, but the application of different growth references yields varying prevalence estimates. Systematic reviews commonly include studies utilizing criteria established by the World Health Organization (WHO), the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF), the Indian Academy of Pediatrics (IAP), and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).[12]

This concurrent use of multiple diagnostic standards introduces an inherent complication for epidemiological synthesis and policy evaluation. The variation between these established criteria means that pooling raw prevalence data without adjustment can distort the true magnitude of the epidemic and undermine the ability to track intervention efficacy reliably on a global scale. For national policy, reliance on criteria used in national surveys, such as those that informed the National Family Health Survey (NFHS) data in India, may lead to prevalence figures that are incomparable to clinical research relying on internationally accepted WHO or IOTF cut-offs.[12] To effectively measure progress and allocate resources globally, harmonized reporting standards are critically necessary to overcome the challenges posed by diverse diagnostic definitions.

1.2. Escalating Global Trends and Burden: 1990 to Present Projections

The worldwide prevalence of overweight and obesity among children has increased dramatically over the past three decades.[2] A specific analysis of preschool-age children dem-

Cite this article as: Benny PV, Susanna John. Pediatric Obesity: A Global and Indian Epidemiological and Clinical Review. Kerala Medical Journal. 2026 March 30;19(1):4-11.

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Benny PV., Professor & HOD, Department of Community Medicine, Sree Gokulam Medical College, Venjaramoodu, Trivandrum. Email: drbenny41mc@sgmc.edu.in

onstrated a sharp rise in prevalence from approximately 4% in 1990 to 7% in 2010. Should this rapid progression remain unchecked, projections estimated that the prevalence would reach 9%, or 60 million children, by 2020.[2]

More recent data confirms a persistent and accelerated escalation. A pooled analysis covering studies from 2000 to 2023 indicated a substantial acceleration, with studies performed between 2012 and 2023 showing a significantly higher rate of obesity (11.3%) compared to the 2000-2011 period (7.1%).[1] This represents a 1.5-fold increase in the prevalence of obesity over the last decade.[1] Current pooled estimates for children and adolescents under 18 years old place the prevalence of overweight at 14.8% (95% CI 14.5–15.1) and excess weight (overweight plus obesity) at 22.2% (95% CI 21.6–22.8).[1] This accelerated rate of increase post-2011 suggests that the environmental and commercial factors contributing to the obesogenic environment—such as the widespread availability and marketing of ultra-processed foods—have become substantially more potent.

1.3. Disparities in Global Distribution and Socioeconomic Status (SES)

The burden of pediatric obesity is not uniformly distributed globally. Higher prevalence rates are consistently reported in high-income countries and regions characterized by high scores on the Human Development Index (HDI), defined as 0.8 or greater.[1] However, the relationship between Socioeconomic Status (SES) and obesity varies fundamentally between industrialized and developing countries.[2]

In industrialized nations, obesity risk tends to be concentrated in low-SES groups, who often have greater access to inexpensive, energy-dense diets. Conversely, in developing economies, including parts of India, a reversal is observed: higher SES groups often face increased risk.[2] This pattern arises because high-SES families in these environments are the early adopters of sedentary lifestyles, motorization, and diets high in fat, sugar, and processed foods, while traditional diets may be preserved longer among lower-SES groups.[2] This fundamental difference in the SES-obesity association across the globe requires public health resource targeting to be adapted to the specific stage of economic development. In contexts like India, where higher SES strata initially demonstrate higher prevalence [11], interventions must prioritize urban environments and economically advantaged populations who are the first to encounter the full force of the obesogenic environment, complementing traditional health programs that focus on poverty-related malnutrition.

EPIDEMIOLOGICAL LANDSCAPE OF PEDIATRIC OBESITY IN INDIA

2.1. Prevalence Dynamics in Indian Children and Adolescents: Meta-analytic Estimates and National Survey Data

India is navigating a complex epidemiological transition, reflected in mounting evidence of escalating pediatric obesity across all age groups.[3] A major meta-analysis synthesizing data from nine studies, comprising 92,862 Indian subjects, provided baseline estimates of overweight prevalence at 12.64% (95% CI 8.48–16.80%) and obesity prevalence at 3.39% (95% CI 2.58–4.21%)[4] **(Table 1).** These figures demonstrate a significant pre-existing burden of excess weight, confirming that the epidemic has moved past initial warning signs into a mature phase requiring urgent intervention.[4]

National surveillance data confirms a consistent upward trajectory. Data obtained from the National Family Health Survey (NFHS) for preschool children (utilizing a large sample of $n=176,255$ in 2015) initially suggested a combined overweight/obesity prevalence of 2.6%. The subsequent NFHS-2021 revealed that this figure had increased to 3.4%.[12] Among older demographics, the situation is similarly concerning. The prevalence of overweight among Indian teenagers and adolescents was reported at 19.0%, notably higher than neighbouring countries like Sri Lanka (11.0%).[13] Findings from systematic reviews suggest that despite these alarming numbers, the true magnitude of childhood overweight and obesity in the country might still be underestimated by certain global metrics, such as the World Obesity Atlas.[12]

2.2. Regional and Demographic Disparities: Gender, Urbanization, and SES

Analysis of pediatric obesity in India reveals distinct demographic and geographic disparities. A consistent gender pattern indicates that boys bear a significantly higher burden of both overweight and obesity compared to girls.[14] For children, the prevalence of obesity was 7.0% for boys versus 4.8% for girls, and for adolescents, it was 10.1% versus 6.2% ($p < 0.001$ for both groups). Similar differences were observed in overweight prevalence (children: 11.7% for boys vs. 10.9% for girls; adolescents: 15.9% for boys vs. 13.7% for girls).[14]

Geographically, regional variations in prevalence are pronounced. Pooled estimates derived from systematic reviews suggested that Eastern India recorded the highest prevalence of overweight (15.9%) and obesity (9.8%) compared to other regions, with Northeast India exhibiting the lowest estimates.[12] This identification of regional hotspots necessitates geographically tailored public health campaigns that account for local variations in dietary patterns, physical infrastructure, and cultural practices. Moreover, the trend of higher prevalence among urban dwellers compared to rural counterparts continues, and the previously discussed SES reversal is evident, with one study in Northern India reporting a stark difference: 5.59% prevalence in the higher socio-economic strata versus 0.42% in the lower strata.[11] This pattern confirms the concentration of the epidemic in specific socioeconomic and urban environments.

Table 1. Global and Indian prevalence of overweight and obesity

Population Group	Geographic Focus	Age Group	Overweight/Excess Weight Prevalence (%)	Obesity Prevalence (%)	Source Synthesis
Global (Pooled)	High HDI Regions	Children/Adolescents (<18 years)	14.8% (Overweight); 22.2% (Excess Weight)	11.3%	[1]
India (Overall)	National Meta-analysis	Children/Adolescents	12.64% (CI 8.48-16.80%)	3.39% (CI 2.58-4.21%)	[4]
India (Regional Peak)	Eastern India	Children	15.9%	9.8%	[12]
Treatment modified	National Data	Preschool Children	3.4% (Overweight/Obese combined)	N/A	[12]

ETIOLOGICAL FACTORS AND PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

3.1. Biological and Genetic Predisposition: The Role of Parental and Infant Trajectories

The development of childhood obesity is powerfully shaped by early life factors, many of which precede school age. A comprehensive analysis identified eight key risk factors associated with increased obesity risk in childhood.[5] Among these, parental obesity stands out as the single greatest predictor, with the presence of obesity in both parents carrying an adjusted odds ratio (OR) of 10.44 (95% CI 5.11 to 21.32).[5]

Beyond genetic inheritance, specific trajectories of infant growth represent critical windows of risk. Very early adiposity rebound—the point after infancy when BMI begins to increase again, occurring before 43 months of age—is associated with an exceptionally high risk (OR 15.00).[5] Measurable factors during the first two years of life also strongly predict later outcomes, including high birth weight (per 100 g: OR 1.05), excessive weight gain in the first year (per 100 g increase: OR 1.06), and rapid weight increase quantified by the standard deviation score for weight at ages 8 months and 18 months.[5] The accumulation of these factors firmly establishes the prenatal and infant periods as the primary targets for obesity risk stratification and preventative intervention.[5]

3.2. Behavioural, Environmental, and Gene-Environment Interaction

Behavioural determinants are integral to the aetiology of pediatric obesity. Modifiable environmental factors identified in early life include short sleep duration (<10.5 hours per night at age 3 years, OR 1.45) and high levels of sedentary behavior, specifically excessive weekly television viewing (>8 hours per week at age 3 years, OR 1.55).[5] Furthermore, maternal smoking and breastfeeding practices have been supported by better-quality reviews as potential determinants, underscoring the early and multifactorial nature of the risk landscape.[16]

Research examining gene-environment interactions provides a critical pathway for intervention.[17] Studies have shown that a healthy lifestyle can substantially mitigate inherited risks.[6] Specifically, maintaining adequate sleep, defined as more than approximately nine hours per day, and limiting leisure screen time to less than approximately four hours per day, are observed to actively counteract the genetic predisposition to central obesity conferred by known obesity susceptibility variants like the FTO gene.[6] Conversely, high levels of screen time can exacerbate the influence of these genetic variants on adolescent BMI.[17] This mechanistic understanding means that addressing sleep habits and screen time is not merely a component of general wellness advice, but a powerful, quantifiable strategy to override underlying genetic vulnerability, emphasizing the critical importance of early behavior modification in high-risk children.

3.3. Nutritional Transition and Ultra-Processed Food (UPF) Consumption in India

Developing countries like India are experiencing a "nutrition transition," an epidemiological phase accompanying economic development.[3] This shift is characterized by changes in dietary and physical activity patterns, resulting in the "double burden of malnutrition" (DBM), where the existing challenges of undernutrition are compounded by the increasing prevalence of obesity and non-communicable diseases (NCDs).[3]

A significant dietary driver of this transition is the increased consumption of ultra-processed foods (UPFs) and sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs).[18] In India, adolescents report regular consumption of a variety of UPFs, which account for a mean energy intake of 16.2% of total daily energy.[19] The intake of macronutrients derived from UPFs is substantial, contributing 16.3% of fat, 18.6% of carbohydrate, and 10.9% of protein intake.[19] Interestingly, this consumption pattern shows socioeconomic variation, with children from middle-income families consuming significantly higher amounts of UPF-derived macronutrients compared to those from low-income families.[19] Furthermore, the introduction of these non-nutritious foods begins extremely early, documented in children as young as six months in informal settlement communities.[18] This suggests that the highest exposure to these obesogenic foods first occurs within the rising middle class, who have the purchasing power and access to modern marketing, demanding targeted interventions focused on food literacy and quality, rather than focusing solely on caloric density as a deprivation issue.

CLINICAL BURDEN AND COMORBIDITIES

The clinical consequences of pediatric obesity are severe, often involving metabolic, endocrine, and psychosocial complications that track into adulthood. Screening for these comorbidities is essential for appropriate management.[8]

4.1. The Metabolic Syndrome Spectrum and Hypertension

Obese children and adolescents frequently present with a constellation of endocrine and metabolic comorbidities.[20] These conditions include dyslipidaemia, metabolic syndrome (MS), and hypertension (HTN).[20] Given the high risk, the American Academy of Paediatrics (AAP) and other clinical guidelines mandate screening for these conditions, along with fatty liver disease and glucose abnormalities, in overweight patients older than ten years.[8] The prevalence of obesity-related HTN and MS is notably high in middle- and low-income countries, particularly within the Asian region.[21] The heterogeneity of these complications necessitates comprehensive, multidisciplinary team management and specialist referral where appropriate.[20]

4.2. Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) in Youth and Pathophysiological Links

The rise of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2D) in the pediatric population is a significant public health indicator of the severity of the obesity epidemic.[23] The prevalence of prediabetes in youth aged 10–19 years was reported at an alarming 25.9% in 2013–2014, with T2D prevalence at 0.6%[20] **(Table 2).**

T2D is tightly interlinked with other metabolic diseases, such as Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD). The presence of T2DM promotes hepatic lipid deposition through mechanisms including hyperinsulinemia, resistance to gut-derived peptides, and increased systemic free fatty acids.[24] This creates a detrimental feedback loop where each condition accelerates the development of the other.[24] Studies confirm that reducing liver fat in T2DM patients significantly improves glucose metabolism, highlighting the necessity of integrated management targeting both obesity and associated hepatic disease.[24]

4.3. Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD): The Alarming Indian Profile

NAFLD is a high-risk comorbidity for children with obesity, significantly increasing the likelihood of developing T2D.[23] Longitudinal studies demonstrate a concerning incidence rate of T2D among children with NAFLD, measured at 3,000 new cases per 100,000 person-years at risk.[23]

The burden of NAFLD is particularly severe in the Indian pediatric population. The pooled prevalence of NAFLD among all children in systematic reviews reached 35.4%.[7] However, when specifically analysing children classified as obese, the pooled prevalence rises drastically to \$63.4\%\$ (95% CI 59.4–67.3).[7] For comparison, the prevalence among non-obese children was substantially lower at 12.4%.[7] Among overweight and obese Indian children, the overall prevalence was found to be 44%.[25] This extremely high prevalence in the obese cohort suggests a distinctive South Asian metabolic phenotype highly susceptible to ectopic fat deposition, leading to accelerated metabolic deterioration. In Indian clinical settings, factors such as elevated serum cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL-C, and Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT) are independently associated with NAFLD.[25] Given the catastrophic potential for progression to liver disease and T2D, the findings advocate strongly for mandatory and routine screening of hepatic enzymes and lipid profiles as a critical step in the initial evaluation and management of every overweight and obese child in India.

4.4. Psychosocial Impact and Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL)

Beyond physical health, pediatric obesity exerts a substantial negative effect on mental health and Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL).[26] Children and adolescents with obesity are at increased risk for internalizing disorders, depression, and anxiety.[27]

The severity of psychosocial impairment is directly proportional to the grade of obesity.[26] Participants with severe obesity consistently report significantly lower physical and psychological well-being compared to those who are merely overweight or moderately obese.[26] They also experience lower autonomy and parent relations.[26] In the Indian context, psychosocial distress among overweight children is associated with depressive symptoms (OR 1.20), anxiety (OR 1.09), low parental involvement, and difficulties in forming friendships.[28] Furthermore, lower levels of school functioning and well-being are positively correlated with lower self-esteem and impaired social domains, including friendships and family relationships, specifically in children with overweight

Table 2. Prevalence of obesity, Type II diabetis and Fatty Liver disease in children and adolescents

Comorbidity	Prevalence in Youth (10-19 yrs)	Prevalence in Obese Indian Children	Clinical Significance	Source Synthesis
Prediabetes	25.9%	N/A	Represents a vast population at immediate risk for frank T2D.[20]	[20]
Type 2 Diabetes (T2D)	0.6%	N/A	High incidence rate in the presence of NAFLD (3,000/100,000 person-years).[23]	[20]
Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD)	35.4% (Pooled global estimate)	**63.4%** (Pooled estimate in obese children)	Alarmingly high prevalence in the Indian obese cohort, demanding mandatory hepatic screening.[7]	[7]

and obesity.[29] This suggests the existence of a feedback mechanism where untreated psychological distress—such as depression or low self-esteem-hinders a child's motivation and capacity for long-term behavioural adherence, thereby perpetuating the weight status. Comprehensive clinical intervention must therefore include screening and management of these comorbid psychological conditions to optimize the likelihood of success in weight management programs.[28]

MANAGEMENT AND CLINICAL INTERVENTION STRATEGIES

The treatment of pediatric obesity is complex and requires a structured, escalating approach, integrating behavioural modification with medical and surgical tools.[8]

5.1. Foundational Treatment: Intensive Health Behavior and Lifestyle Therapy (IHBLT)

Comprehensive lifestyle modification remains the mandatory cornerstone of therapy for pediatric obesity.[8] This approach requires intensive, structured behavioural modification programs focusing on diet, increased physical activity, and behavioural therapy.[8] The American Academy of Paediatrics (AAP) endorses a patient-centered, "whole child" evaluation process that utilizes motivational interviewing as a fundamental strategy to support the required intensive health behavior and lifestyle therapy (IHBLT).[31] While foundational, IHBLT alone typically results in modest reductions, averaging approximately 3% reduction in BMI.[9]

5.2. Staged Approach to Treatment and Comorbidity Assessment

Treatment of pediatric obesity follows a staged management progression, ensuring that the intensity of intervention matches the severity of the disease and associated risk.[8] Stage 1 focuses on prevention, promoting healthy eating habits and behavioural patterns to prevent further weight gain. Stage 2 involves structured weight management with intensified resources. Stage 3 requires a comprehensive, multidisciplinary intervention.[8]

Clinical guidelines recommend regular medical risk assessment. For children aged 6 to 9 years who are overweight, an initial medical risk assessment of comorbidity is necessary. For children and adolescents over 10 years of age classified as overweight, an annual assessment for obesity-related comorbidities is recommended. This comprehensive screening should include evaluating for dyslipidaemia, hypertension (HTN), glucose intolerance, and fatty liver disease.[8]

5.3. Pharmacological Management: Evidence and Usage

For adolescents who do not achieve adequate weight reduction through IHBLT alone, or who have significant comorbidities, pharmacotherapy serves as a necessary adjunctive measure.[31] When pharmacological interventions are added to lifestyle modification therapy, they significantly enhance efficacy, achieving BMI reductions ranging from 5% to 17%.[9]

Recent regulatory approvals have expanded the pharmacopeia for adolescent obesity. For example, phentermine-topiramate extended release has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in children and adolescents aged 12 years and older.[8] Furthermore, certain drugs approved for T2DM, such as metformin, may be clinically considered for managing severe insulin resistance caused by obesity, even if not explicitly approved for weight loss treatment.[8] The recommendation for pharmacotherapy, particularly when coupled with surgery, must be delivered with sensitivity and specialized counseling to prevent trivialization of the disease's complexity and to avoid perpetuating weight stigma.[32]

5.4. Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (MBS): Selection and Efficacy

Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (MBS) represents the most definitive and effective treatment for adolescents afflicted by severe obesity.[9] This intervention has demonstrated the capability to achieve substantial and sustained weight loss, with typical BMI reductions of approximately 30%.[9]

MBS is reserved for adolescents with Class 2 and Class 3 obesity (severe obesity), and specific weight criteria must be met to maximize benefit while managing risk.[32] Key patient selection criteria include a BMI greater than or equal to \$120\%\$ of the 95th percentile with a documented comorbidity, or a BMI greater than or equal to \$140\%\$ of the 95th percentile regardless of comorbidities.[33] Given the high prevalence of severe and potentially irreversible metabolic complications in this cohort, such as T2D and severe NAFLD, MBS should be clinically regarded not merely as a treatment of last resort, but as a critical tool for disease mitigation and reversal.[7] For younger children who meet all other criteria, surgical consideration may be warranted when the clear, definitive benefit of resolving life-threatening complications outweighs the potential long-term risks.[33]

POLICY AND PUBLIC HEALTH RESPONSE IN THE INDIAN CONTEXT

6.1. Socio-Cultural Barriers and Parental Feeding Practices

Public health efforts in India face unique socio-cultural challenges that actively promote the disease.[34] Cultural beliefs and practices significantly influence parental perceptions of a healthy body size, often exacerbating the issue of overweight.[34] Specific practices frequently cited include forced feeding and overprotection by caregivers, alongside low parental knowledge regarding optimal nutrition and health.[11]

These behaviours are often rooted in the historical context of high rates of child undernutrition.[35] Even today, the "double

burden of malnutrition" (DBM) is evident, where overweight or obese mothers may have stunted or underweight children.[35] Analysis shows that up to 26.5% of overweight/obese mothers had stunted children.[35] This dynamic drives caregiver behaviours defined as "over-feeding behavior" and "pushing the child to eat more," stemming from a persistent concern about the child being underweight or undereating.[36] To create an effective and culturally appropriate public health strategy, policies must incorporate targeted health literacy campaigns that actively redefine what constitutes a healthy growth trajectory and body size for Indian children, directly countering the cultural normalization of excess weight and the fear of undernutrition.

6.2. Efficacy of Targeted Indian Interventions

Addressing the pediatric obesity crisis in India requires interventions that demonstrate efficacy within local settings. School-based programs have emerged as pivotal strategies.[34] Meta-analysis of five trials involving 3,904 schoolchildren suggested a statistically significant beneficial effect of school-based programs, projecting a 42% reduction in the prevalence of obesity among participants.[37]

However, the effectiveness is not universal, suggesting variance in implementation or content. Some school interventions, while successfully improving physical fitness, reducing sedentary time, and increasing fruit consumption, failed to achieve a significant reduction in BMI or the overall prevalence of overweight/obesity.[38] This highlights that structural environmental interventions alone may be insufficient. Conversely, family-based pediatric obesity programs, such as "CHETNA" and "MARG," have demonstrated significant success.[34] These programs, which combine nutritional education and physical activity for the child, educator, and community, achieved notable weight reduction: children lost an average of $2.3 \pm 2.0\text{ kg}$ of body mass and parents lost $6.4 \pm 4.3\text{ kg}$ over 12 weeks.[39] The superior outcomes achieved by programs involving family modification confirm that obesity is largely driven by the home environment, necessitating mandatory parental and family engagement for successful and sustained behavioural change.

6.3. National Policy Imperatives and Multi-Sectoral Strategy

The economic burden associated with pediatric overweight and obesity in India is substantial, estimated to have cost INR 8,000 crore (approximately USD 1.1 billion) in 2017.[34] This financial reality, coupled with the escalating health burden, demands a robust, multi-pronged national response.[41]

Government strategies must move beyond educational campaigns to include stringent legislative and regulatory measures that reshape the obesogenic environment.[34] Key policy interventions advocated include:

1. **Fiscal Measures:** Implementation of health taxes on high-fat, salt, and sugar (HFSS) foods and beverages.[10]
2. **Marketing and Labeling:** Restrictions on the advertisement of commercial foods during children's programming and the mandating of comprehensive, easy-to-understand Front-of-Pack (FOP) nutrition labelling standards.[10]
3. **Environmental Supports:** The creation of more supportive physical environments, such as dedicated playgrounds, parks, and safe walking/bicycle tracks, is necessary to promote physical activity.[11]
4. **Integrated Governance:** Establishing a national task force to coordinate efforts across multiple ministries (integrating health, nutrition, physical activity, and food safety) is essential.[34]

Given the scale of the crisis and the strong commercial drivers, reliance on voluntary or educational approaches is insufficient. Hard legislative action is required to significantly counter the environmental influences on diet and behavior. Furthermore, policy must be strategically designed as "double-duty actions" that address both the prevention of rising obesity and the need to maintain or strengthen programs addressing lingering undernutrition (e.g., through existing public-funded programs like ICDS and PM-POSHAN).[10]

CONCLUSION

Pediatric obesity presents a complex, accelerating epidemic globally, with particularly alarming metabolic dimensions in India. The evidence confirms that early life factors, genetic predisposition, and pervasive environmental exposures (particularly screen time and ultra-processed food consumption) combine to drive rapid weight gain. The resulting comorbidities, especially the disproportionately high burden of NAFLD in obese Indian children (63.4%), necessitate immediate and decisive clinical action focused on routine screening for cardiometabolic risks and endocrine abnormalities.

Effective management mandates a tiered approach, starting with intensive family-based lifestyle modification. For cases of severe obesity, the use of pharmacotherapy and metabolic and bariatric surgery should be integrated early as definitive, necessary medical tools to mitigate catastrophic lifetime disease risk. Crucially, the public health response in India must transition from purely educational guidance to comprehensive policy innovation. Legislative measures—such as taxation on unhealthy foods and strict limits on marketing—are required to structurally dismantle the obesogenic environment and overcome entrenched socio-cultural barriers, thereby protecting children from the compounding health and economic burdens of this emerging crisis.

END NOTE

Author Information

1. Dr Benny PV, Professor & HOD, Department of Community Medicine, Sree Gokulam Medical College, Venjaramoodu, Trivandrum
2. Dr. Susanna John, Associate Professor & HOD, Department of Community Medicine, Sree Gokulam Medical College, Venjaramoodu, Trivandrum

Conflict of Interest: None declared

REFERENCES

1. Liu J, Zhang Y, Wang X, Wang Y, Zhang D, Yin Y, et al. Global prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2024;178(6):e240567.
2. Wang Y, Lim H. The global childhood obesity epidemic and the association between socio-economic status and childhood obesity. Int Rev Psychiatry. 2012;24(3):176-88.
3. Popkin BM, Adair LS, Ng SW. Nutrition transition and its health outcomes. Public Health Nutr. 2012;15(1):1-5.
4. Ranjani H, Mehreen TS, Pradeepa R, Anjana RM, Garg R, Anand K, et al. Epidemiology of childhood overweight and obesity in India: a systematic review. Indian J Med Res. 2016;143(2):160-74.
5. Reilly JJ, Armstrong J, Dorosty AR, Emmett PM, Ness A, Rogers I, et al. Early life risk factors for obesity in childhood: cohort study. BMJ. 2005;330(7504):1357.
6. Graff M, Scott RA, Justice AE, Young KL, Feitosa MF, Barata L, et al. The genetic predisposition to obesity has no influence on waist circumference when screen time and sleep duration are adequate in children and adolescents. Am J Clin Nutr. 2021;114(3):1019-28.
7. Shalimar, Kumar R, Rout G, Patel AH, Mohan P, Kedia S, et al. Prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in India: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2022;37(9):1717-29.
8. Kim JH, Moon JS, Oh K, Kong IG, Kim EY, Lee SY, et al. Obesity in children and adolescents: 2022 update of clinical practice guidelines by the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity. J Obes Metab Syndr. 2022;31(4):249-68.
9. Fox CK, Kaizer AM, Rudser KD, Nathan BM, Kelly AS. Obesity in adolescents: a review. JAMA. 2024;331(15):1328-40.
10. UNICEF. India: overweight and obesity rising across all ages – from youngest children to adults [Internet]. New Delhi: UNICEF India; 2024 [cited 2025 Nov 27].
11. Gupta N, Goel K, Shah P, Misra A. Childhood obesity in developing countries: epidemiology, determinants, and prevention. Endocr Rev. 2012;33(1):48-70.
12. Sharma V, Coleman S, Nixon J, Sharples L, Hamilton-Shield J, Rutter H, et al. Tackling the rising tide: understanding the prevalence of childhood obesity in India. Nutrients. 2024;16(9):1452.
13. Reddy KS, Shah B, Varghese C, Ramadoss A. Nutrition transition and obesity among teenagers and young adults in South Asia. Indian J Med Res. 2016;143(2):151-9.
14. Mazidi M, Banach M, Kengne AP. Prevalence of childhood and adolescent overweight and obesity in Asian countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci. 2018;14(6):1185-203.
15. Biswas T, Garnett SP, Pervin S, Rawal LB. The prevalence of overweight and obesity among children and adolescents from the Indian subcontinent: a meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2017;9(10):1051.
16. Monasta L, Batty GD, Cattaneo A, Lutje V, Ronfani L, Van Lenthe FJ, et al. Early-life determinants of overweight and obesity: a review of systematic reviews. Obes Rev. 2010;11(10):695-708.
17. Mitchell JA, Rodriguez D, Schmitz KH, Audrain-McGovern J. Screen time behaviours may interact with obesity genes to influence adolescent BMI in an ethnically diverse cohort. Pediatr Obes. 2013;8(6):e74-9.
18. Sahasranaman A, Narayan KMV, Tandon N, Anjana RM, Mohan V. Maternal-child consumption of ultra-processed foods and sugar-sweetened beverages in informal settlements in Mumbai, India. Nutrients. 2023;15(24):5123.
19. Gupta P, Shah D, Kumar P, Bhatia V. Intake of ultra-processed foods among adolescents from low- and middle-income families in Delhi. Indian Pediatr. 2020;57(8):731-5.
20. Styne DM, Arslanian SA, Connor EL, Farooqi IS, Murad MH, Silverstein JH, et al. Endocrine comorbidities of pediatric obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102(3):709-57.
21. Weihrauch-Blüher S, Wiegand S. Disparities in the prevalence of childhood obesity-related comorbidities: a systematic review. Children (Basel). 2022;9(6):820.
22. Hampl SE, Hassink SG, Skinner AC, Armstrong SC, Barlow SE, Bolling CF, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and treatment of children and adolescents with obesity. Pediatrics. 2023;151(2):e2022060640.
23. Newton KP, Hou J, Crimmins NA, Lavine JE, Barlow SE, Xanthakos SA, et al. Incidence of type 2 diabetes in children with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Pediatr. 2023;256:113-120.e2.
24. Della Corte C, Alisi A, Nobili V. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and type 2 diabetes in obese children. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20(23):7236-43.
25. Kumar R, Sinha A, Lakra A, Kumari P, Soren H. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among overweight and obese children from a teaching institution of Jharkhand: a cross-sectional study. Cureus. 2024;16(5):e60127.
26. Wille N, Erhart M, Petersen C, Ravens-Sieberer U. Health-related quality of life in children and adolescents with overweight, obesity, and severe obesity: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2023;23(1):1774.
27. Pulgarón ER. Childhood obesity: a review of increased risk for physical and psychological comorbidities. Clin Ther. 2013;35(1):A18-32.
28. Bhatia E, Palta M, Soni A, Patel P. Overweight status in Indian children: prevalence and psychosocial correlates. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2015;28(11-12):1213-9.
29. Rank M, Siegrist M, Wilks DC, Langhof H, Wolfarth B, Haller B, et al. Health-related quality of life in children with overweight and obesity: an explorative study focused on school functioning and well-being. Front Pediatr. 2024;12:1383285.
30. Kelly AS, Barlow SE, Rao G, Inge TH, Hayman LL, Steinberger J, et al. Management of adolescent obesity. Circulation. 2024;149(7):e347-e368.
31. Bolling CF, Armstrong SC, Reichard KW, Michalsky MP. Evaluating and treating pediatric obesity: a practice update. Pediatrics. 2025;155(4):e2024067890.
32. Rhee KE, Kessl S, Lindros J, Vidmar AP. The American Academy of Pediatrics guideline for obesity: an adolescent health perspective. J Adolesc Health. 2024;74(5):731-8.
33. Pratt JSA, Browne A, Kudva A, Browne NT, Bruzoni M, Cohen M, et al. ASMBS pediatric metabolic and bariatric surgery guidelines, 2018. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2018;14(7):882-901.
34. Singh A, Sharma R, Kumar P. Addressing the challenge: a review of effective prevention strategies for childhood obesity in India. Cureus. 2024;16(4):e57891.

35. Harding KL, Aguayo VM, Webb P. Does maternal overnutrition carry child undernutrition in India? Nutrients. 2022;14(9):1849.
36. Hurley KM, Cross MB, Hughes SO. A systematic review of responsive feeding and child obesity in high-income countries. J Nutr. 2011;141(3):495-501.
37. Waters E, de Silva-Sanigorski A, Hall BJ, Brown T, Campbell KJ, Gao Y, et al. Interventions for preventing obesity in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;(12):CD001871.
38. Shah P, Misra A, Gupta N, Hazra DK, Gupta R, Seth P, et al. Effectiveness of a five-year school-based intervention programme to reduce adiposity and improve fitness and lifestyle in Indian children: the SYM-KEM study. Arch Dis Child. 2014;99(4):333-9.
39. Boutelle KN, Cafri G, Crow SJ. Outcomes of a family-based pediatric obesity program. Child Obes. 2011;7(6):495-500.
40. Boutelle KN, Cafri G, Crow SJ. Outcomes of a family-based pediatric obesity program: preliminary results. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2012;51(7):653-8.
41. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. Towards a fit and healthy India: combating obesity through collective action [Internet]. New Delhi: Government of India; 2025 [cited 2025 Nov 27].